

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/096,811	06/12/1998	YU-HAI MAO	ESS.P002	2246
26379	7590 01/02/2002			
GARY CAR	Y WARE & FREIDENR	EXAMINER		
1755 EMBARCADERO PALO ALTO, CA 94303-3340			CHIEU, PO LIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
		· DATE MAILED: 01/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

.1			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/096,811	MAO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Polin Chieu	2615
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repression of the properties of the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed io) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2001 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 16-19</u> is/are pending in	the application.	
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	* *	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
	on Papers	·	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
riority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received in App	lication No
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
		·	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
)	• •	
ittachmen	-	,, <u></u>	,
) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and T O-326 (Re	rademark Office v. 04-01) Office /	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 2615

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-10, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al (6,208,802) in view of Jeong (6,130,988).

Mori et al discloses selecting a video for playback in the browser mode in figure 15; switching from the browser mode to a video mode (col. 22, lines 40-45); playing the video (col. 22, lines 40-45); and returning to the browser mode (col. 22, lines 49-53). However, Mori et al does not disclose that the switching step reserves a portion of a memory other than the disc; storing a return address in the memory; and storing a starting and ending address of the video in the memory.

Jeong teaches reserving a portion of a memory other than the disc (330) in figure 3; storing a return address (the return address has been interpreted as the address of the menu information 124 in figure 1B) in the memory (col. 4, line 63 col. 5, line 8); and storing the starting and ending address (control information 132) of the video in the memory (col. 5, lines 9-27).

 \supset

Art Unit: 2615

It would have been highly desirable to reserve a portion of a memory other than the disc; store a return address in the memory; and store a start and end address in the memory so that the device does not have to jump back to the area on the disc in which the control information (return address, start address, end address, etc.) is stored. The device would be faster since it would not have to jump to read the control information and/or calculate the start and end addresses (Mori et al, S154 and S156 in fig. 13B).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a reserved portion of a memory other that the disc to store a return address, start address, and end address in the device of Mori et al.

Regarding claim 3, Mori et al discloses playing the video from the starting and ending address (col. 22, lines 40-50).

Regarding claim 4, Mori et al discloses returning to a browser mode as discussed previously. Inherently the return address must be read and the return file must be loaded to properly generate the browser menu again. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to read the return address and load the return file in Mori et al.

Regarding claim 5, Mori et al discloses that a user (col. 22, lines 25-30) selects the video.

Regarding claim 6, most of the limitations recited in the claim were discussed in the art rejection of claim 1. Please refer to the art rejection of claim 1. Mori et al discloses loading data segments of a browser program (col. 22, lines 5-10) into a memory unit (100) in figure 1.

Art Unit: 2615

The limitations recited in claim 7 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 2. Please refer to the art rejection of claim 2.

The limitations recited in claim 8 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 3.

Please refer to the art rejection of claim 3.

The limitations recited in claim 9 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 4.

Please refer to the art rejection of claim 4.

The limitations recited in claim 10 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 5.

Please refer to the art rejection of claim 5.

Regarding claims 16 and 18, a first mode (or browser mode) and a second mode (or video mode); switching from the first mode to the second mode and back to the first mode; reserving a portion of memory; storing a return address in the memory; and storing starting and ending addresses in the memory were discussed in the art rejection of claim 1. Mori et al discloses text and graphics in figure 5, and images (32b), video (32b), and audio (32c) on the disc in figure 3.

Regarding claim 17, Mori et al does not disclose reading the return address from the memory and loading a return file.

Jeong teaches reading a return address from the memory and loading a return file (col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 37).

It would have been highly desirable to have the return address read from the memory when loading a return file (or menu) so that the device saves time by reading the address from the memory instead of reading it from the disc.

Art Unit: 2615

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to read the address from the memory and load a return file in the device of Mori et al.

Regarding claim 19, Mori et al discloses that the video is selected by a user (col. 22, lines 25-41).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Harrison et al (5,764,304 and 5,751,369) discloses an instruction device that allows the user to return to a menu at any time.

Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Polin Chieu whose telephone number is (703) 308-6070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

PC December 28, 2001

ANDREW B. CHRISTENSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER